

37316

CONTRACTOR AWARD FEE PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT PART I: EVENT DESCRIPTION AND OVERALL EVALUATION																	
CONTRACT NO. <b>68-1-6699</b>		CONTRACTOR <b>NUS Corporation</b>															
REPORTING ELEMENT <b>Region II</b>		TDO OR WA NO. <b>45-2LA2.0</b>															
CONTRACTOR EVAL REPORT FILED: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		DATES OF REPORTED EVENT FROM: <b>10/1/83</b> TO: <b>1/31/84</b>															
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CATEGORY <b>Enforcement RI/FS Work Plan - Asbestos Dump Site, NJ</b>		NO. OF HOURS <b>550</b>	TOTAL COST <b>\$15,000</b>														
CONTRACTOR CONTACT <b>John George, Project Manager</b>		PHONE NO. <b>412/788-1000</b>	PERFORMANCE MONITOR <b>Robert McKnight</b>														
			PHONE NO. <b>212/264-8679</b>														
DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE EVENT Preparation of the first Draft Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the Asbestos Dump Site, Millington, New Jersey. Authorization to perform the work was received by the Contractor as Work Assignment No. 45-2LA2.0.																	
OVERALL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION  This Work Plan represents a departure from previous EPA policy in that no prior summary of data had been prepared as a preliminary scoping tool. In preparing this Work Plan, the Contractor reviewed all pertinent data and provided a summary and evaluation of the data in the Work Plan sufficient to serve as a basis for the recommendations made for further study.  By preparing the Work Plan in this manner, successive iterations via RAMP development were avoided. While greater time was required for Work Plan preparation than would have been the case had a RAMP been available, the overall cost and effort savings which resulted from this approach was substantial.		<table border="0"> <tr> <td>PROJECT PLANNING</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TECHNICAL COMPETENCE &amp; INNOVATION</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCHEDULE &amp; COST CONTROL</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>REPORTING</td> <td><u>4</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>RESOURCE UTILIZATION</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EFFORT</td> <td><u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">* INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FROM PART II (E.G. 5-1)</td> </tr> </table>		PROJECT PLANNING	<u>5</u>	TECHNICAL COMPETENCE & INNOVATION	<u>5</u>	SCHEDULE & COST CONTROL	<u>5</u>	REPORTING	<u>4</u>	RESOURCE UTILIZATION	<u>5</u>	EFFORT	<u>5</u>	* INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FROM PART II (E.G. 5-1)	
PROJECT PLANNING	<u>5</u>																
TECHNICAL COMPETENCE & INNOVATION	<u>5</u>																
SCHEDULE & COST CONTROL	<u>5</u>																
REPORTING	<u>4</u>																
RESOURCE UTILIZATION	<u>5</u>																
EFFORT	<u>5</u>																
* INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FROM PART II (E.G. 5-1)																	
DATE <b>1/9/84</b>	CONTRACTOR SIGNATURE <b>John A. George</b>		OVERALL RATING <b>5</b>														
HQ FIT- OR REM-DPO'S ASSESSMENT & CERTIFICATION																	
DATE	HQ FIT- OR REM-DPO SIGNATURE		RATING														

ASB 002 0547

**CONTRACTOR AWARD FEE PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT  
PART II: EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORE SHEET**

CONTRACT NO. <b>68-01-6699</b>		CONTRACTOR <b>NUS Corporation</b>		TOD OR WA NO <b>45-2LA2.0</b>	
REPORTING ELEMENT <b>Region II</b>			DATES OF REPORTED EVENT FROM: <b>10/1/83</b> TO: <b>1/31/84</b>		
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CATEGORY Enforcement <b>RI/FS Work Plan - Asbestos Dump Site, NJ</b>			NO. OF HOURS <b>550</b>		TOTAL COST <b>\$15,000</b>
CONTRACTOR CONTACT <b>John George, Project Manager</b>			PHONE NO. <b>412/788-1080</b>		PERFORMANCE MONITOR <b>Robert McKnight</b>
					PHONE NO. <b>212/264-8679</b>

  

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	RATING	SUPPORTING COMMENTS
<b>PROJECT PLANNING</b> — ORGANIZING (E.G., WORK PLAN DEVELOPMENT, DATA REVIEW) — SCHEDULING — BUDGETING	<u>  X  </u> 5 _____ 4 _____ 3 _____ 2 _____ 1	Upon receiving the Work Assignment, the Contractor's project manager assembled a project team and assigned specific responsibilities and completion schedules.
<b>TECHNICAL COMPETENCE &amp; INNOVATION</b> — EFFECTIVENESS OF ANALYSES — MEET PLAN GOALS — SUPPORT COE. STATE. ENFORC. — ADHERE TO REGS. & PROCEDURES — APPROACH CREATIVITY/INGENUITY — EXPERT TESTIMONY	<u>  X  </u> 5 _____ 4 _____ 3 _____ 2 _____ 1	The primary vehicle for reaching a rapid understanding of the site involved a reconnaissance by the contractor accompanied by the EPA Regional Site Project Officer (RSP0) and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Site Manager. This meeting afforded all parties the opportunity to discuss the nature of the problem, as well as potential remedial actions.
<b>SCHEDULE &amp; COST CONTROL</b> — BUDGET (HOURS & COST) MAINTENANCE — PRIORITY/SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENTS — COST MINIMIZATION	<u>  X  </u> 5 _____ 4 _____ 3 _____ 2 _____ 1	The budget was monitored closely by the Contractor's project manager. Work proceeded as expeditiously as possible in concert with development of a quality product. Cost minimization was practiced throughout the work and was especially evident in the site reconnaissance which also served as the single field information gathering tool.
<b>REPORTING</b> — TIMELINESS OF DELIVERABLES — CLARITY — THOROUGHNESS	_____ 5 <u>  X  </u> 4 _____ 3 _____ 2 _____ 1	The RSP0 was continually advised of progress on the development of the Work Plan, as well as adjustments in the schedule. The Draft Work Plan represents a thorough review of the problem and a concise statement of recommendations for further study.
<b>RESOURCE UTILIZATION</b> — STAFFING — SUBCONTRACTING — EQUIPMENT, TRAVEL, ETC.	<u>  X  </u> 5 _____ 4 _____ 3 _____ 2 _____ 1	The Contractor committed technical staff to the project who are familiar with problems associated with asbestos waste disposal. Analytical methodologies for asbestos fiber identification and quantification were reviewed with authorities on these issues within EPA.
<b>EFFORT</b> — RESPONSIVENESS — MOBILIZATION — DAY-TO-DAY — SPECIAL SITUATIONS (E.G., ADVERSE/ DANGEROUS CONDITIONS)	<u>  X  </u> 5 _____ 4 _____ 3 _____ 2 _____ 1	The Contractor mobilized rapidly to conduct the initial site reconnaissance and is presently available at the convenience of the RSP0 to discuss comments on the review of the Work Plan.